

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/629,815                | 07/30/2003                         | Tsutomu Ohzuku       | 43888-267           | 9492             |  |
| MCDERMOT                  | 7590 08/10/2007<br>Γ, WILL & EMERY | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 600 13th Street, N.W.     |                                    |                      | LEE, CYNTHIA K      |                  |  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096 |                                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                           |                                    |                      | 1745                |                  |  |
|                           |                                    | •                    |                     | •                |  |
|                           |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                           |                                    | •                    | 08/10/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 10/629,815      | OHZUKU ET AL. |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |
| Cynthia Lee     | 1745          |

|   | Cynthia Lee   | 1   | 745   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover she  | et with the cor   | respondence add   | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF   | PLICATION IN COND   | DITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | the same day as filing<br>ving replies: (1) an am<br>tice of Appeal (with ap  | g a Notice of Ap<br>endment, affida<br>peal fee) in cor   | opeal. To avoid aba<br>avit, or other eviden<br>mpliance with 37 Cl | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76   | dvisory Action, or (2) the ater than SIX MONTHS fr<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (  | rom the mailing d   | late of the final rejection   | on.  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the correspondance that the corresponding the corresponding that the corresponding the corresponding the corresponding to the corresponding the corresponding to the | nding amount of difference of the difference of | the fee. The appropri   | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR   | 41.37(e)), to a   | void dismissal of th  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or sea<br>w);   | rch (see NOTE   | below);   |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>  | corresponding number  | ·   |   | ine issues for                             |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |   |   |   | •  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13  |   | ce of Non-Com   | pliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |   |   |   |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |   |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   | e entered and an e  | explanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12 and 14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>13</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   | •   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | t before or on the date<br>d sufficient reasons wh  | e of filing a Noti<br>hy the affidavit  | ce of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>or other evidence is                 | ot be entered<br>s necessary and           |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections<br>y and was not earlier p  | s under appeal oresented. See   | and/or appellant fai<br>37 CFR 41.33(d)(                            | ils to provide a<br>1).                    |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |   |   |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the  | application in c  | ondition for allowa   | nce because:                               |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No  | o(s)  |   | ·  |
|   |   |   |   |  |
|   |   |   | •   | •  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "uniformly dispersed at the atomic level" raises new issue.

SUSY TSANG-FOSTER